

Message

From: Lisa Rector [lrector@nescaum.org]
Sent: 10/10/2018 2:59:28 PM
To: Johnson, Steffan [johnson.steffan@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

You have an interesting definition of fun but thanks for finding this information. Very helpful and insightful!

From: Johnson, Steffan <johnson.steffan@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 10:58 AM
To: Lisa Rector <lrector@nescaum.org>
Subject: RE: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

One must have access to the good folks who can scan files from the boxed archives. I recently came into a CD full of these scanned files. Sadly, one group of files is missing on the disc, so I've asked if they missed a box and, if so, could I get those as well.

Time will tell. But I'm having fun for now. ☺

Stef

From: Lisa Rector [mailto:lrector@nescaum.org]
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 10:45 AM
To: Johnson, Steffan <johnson.steffan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

Thanks! What are the training requirements for EPA air truffle hunting?

From: Johnson, Steffan <johnson.steffan@epa.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 7:58 AM
To: Lisa Rector <lrector@nescaum.org>
Subject: RE: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

I will look. No promises, but I will look.

From: Lisa Rector [mailto:lrector@nescaum.org]
Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2018 4:42 PM
To: Johnson, Steffan <johnson.steffan@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

Stef while you are digging through the relics, can you see if there is any information beyond how much wood is loaded? These studies take about the lb/ft³ loaded but I don't see data about the coalbed conditions. There seems to be a lot information surrounding the 7 lb/ft³ number but 1) huge variation around that figure and 2) no description about the condition when it is loaded. For example, was all the wood burned and in coals or was there unburnt wood and they were adding onto it. Make sense? Also I haven't seen any small stoves, the smallest I've seen so far is 2.6 ft³, which is larger than the typical stove sold today. Most stoves are larger than 3ft³. Just my quick review.

From: Johnson, Steffan <johnson.steffan@epa.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2018 3:57 PM

To: Lisa Rector <lrector@nescaum.org>

Subject: RE: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

I have not reviewed, still digging and carefully conserving.

From: Lisa Rector [<mailto:lrector@nescaum.org>]

Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2018 3:54 PM

To: Johnson, Steffan <johnson.steffan@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

Or I am just seeing what I want to see.

From: Johnson, Steffan <johnson.steffan@epa.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, October 9, 2018 3:43 PM

To: Lisa Rector <lrector@nescaum.org>; Bob Ferguson <bob@far-consulting-vt.com>

Cc: Toney, Mike <Toney.Mike@epa.gov>; Baumgart-Getz, Adam <Baumgart-Getz.Adam@epa.gov>

Subject: A-84-49-II-I-34.pdf

FYI...dig and sometimes you hit a truffle.